

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2003

LB 270

the only one who will address it forthright and bring it out in the open in all its ugliness, and I intend to continue doing it. As long as I'm a member of this Legislature, I'm going to fight for the rights of gay and lesbian people. I'm going to be opposed to and I will criticize those who feel that gay and lesbian people ought to be condemned, not just with words but by way of inappropriate action. Any law that the Legislature puts in place that creates a benefit or a privilege, any provision of the constitution that creates a benefit, a privilege or a protection, I'm going to do all I can to make sure that those provisions apply to everybody in this society, everybody. And to give a little more detail about what I said about helping these Irish Catholics, I had a bill before the Legislature to which I agreed to help get an amendment attached that would condemn the discrimination against Catholics in Northern Ireland and push for certain sanctions if that would occur. There were people opposed to that inside and outside of the Legislature, but because of the nature of the subject matter in that bill, they had to let the amendment be attached. I'm not a Catholic; never will be one. I'm not a Protestant; never will be one. When I was a child and didn't know any better, I was something in the nature of a fundamentalist cultist. That's what those are. They're cults. When I was a child, I thought as a child, I believed as a child, I behaved as a child. When I became a man, I put away childish things. I don't think it's childish when people express their hatred of others through terrible actions. I don't think Senator Aguilar would mind me saying this because he mentioned it to me and it's a matter of record. He knows the young man who was bicycling and discovered the murdered individual I talked about in Wyoming, and the change that has occurred in that young man. I give it as my view that it may have had the profound impact on him that it did because he may not have envisioned that human beings could so horribly treat another. I reject ideas, I reject arguments that would leave groups unprotected when the law is in a position to spread over them that protection. So Senator Foley will accept my repudiated positions and read them as some kind of argument in support of his position. I tell you, that was my Neanderthal period, and didn't I say earlier he's in his Neanderthal period? And he just proved it by using Neanderthal arguments to support his present Neanderthal position. I characterize those