

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2003

LB 17

you would agree, would you not, Senator Synowiecki, that all of this contemplates treatment at the expense of the individual and not at public expense or taxpayer expense? Would you agree?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yes, I would, and it would be...it is permissible language. It would be at the discretion of the sentencing court to allow this activity to occur and I think it is practiced now actually in individual counties throughout the state. I think this would make it more efficient, more, if you will, user friendly, and it's in practice now and it is understood that it is at the defendant's expense.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I urge the adoption of the Synowiecki amendment and the advancement of LB 17. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I'm sorry for interrupting you. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Is there any more lights on, Senator?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are not.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Okay, I'll...can I just use this as my closing?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You may, if you wish to.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. And as a follow-up to Senator Brashear, it's common practice that courts order individuals into treatment all the time, whether incarcerated or under probationary supervision. When they're under probationary supervision as well it's understood that it's all at the defendant's expense. So I just urge the adoption of the amendment. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You've heard the closing on AM2056. The question before the body is, shall that amendment be adopted?