

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2003

LB 209

think, to hopefully achieve the objective, and that is fairness and justice, in the end. Another little background scenario that I would like to give you before I explain the amendment is, DMV keeps track of these cases as closely as they can, and they have found in some counties there has been a pattern of filing a DUI charge, the ALR proceeding is going, and then under our existing statute they dismiss the DUI charge, so automatically, under our existing statute, the ALR proceeding goes away, so the person can immediately drive. Then, after that's...after the ALR proceeding goes away, they refile the DUI charge and go through a proceeding to obtain a conviction. Obviously, that is part of an arrangement, if you will, a plea bargain and agreement that some prosecutors have decided to participate in, which is very, very contrary to what the ALR proceedings should be about. And so the amendment proposes to do about three things. First of all, the statute has always said, and will continue to say, that if there is no charge filed within 30 days of the arrest, no DUI charge filed, that the ALR proceeding is dismissed. Now, that will continue. But there is a requirement that the prosecutor notify the director of DMV by first-class mail or fax that they are not filing a charge. Secondly, if the defendant, after trial, is found not guilty, or if the charge is dismissed on its merits by the court, the ALR proceeding goes away. The third element of the amendment is that if a charge is filed under the criminal statutes for DUI, pursuant to that arrest, and those proceedings are ultimately dismissed, and then at a later time reinstated, or another...a charge is refiled, the director is to receive notification of the filing of that charge, and a revocation proceeding under AIR could be reinstated. So if the game is played of dismissing the DUI charge and then refiled in order to get rid of the ALR, the DMV has an opportunity to reinstate the revocation. So we're attempting to achieve some measure of justice in terms of...if the defendant or the driver is found not guilty, or the charge is dismissed on its merits, the ALR goes away. But we're...and that's fair, I believe. But if there is an attempt to circumvent the process by having that charge dismissed for a short period of time and refiled it, hopefully this will dissuade people from doing that, because there is a good possibility the ALR proceeding would be reinstated. So there would be no incentive to play that game. So that's the