

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

virtue to that. This is not the opportunity, because it is at the cost of the otherwise, at least from the proponents' perspective, the balance of what's in this essentially negotiated deal, it's true. So it's an opportunity at the cost of that. Body is free to do it. If you do it, fair enough, I understand. But let me just tell you why I think taking another bill on another day is worth it. Number one, rather than risking what's here, this is not the disclosure that we really want in LB 775. What we want is bang for buck. What are we getting and what are we giving? What do we get, what do we give? That's what the public wants to know. Who is getting what? This amendment does nothing with respect towards that essential public issue. Now, this has a good government quality, absolutely. When Chris says it's fair policy, that's true. And it's pretty standard practice. Finding out whether our executive branch agencies are following the law is a thing we do every now and then. Every year, one or two of the agencies, we do it, and it's pretty standard practice. It belongs someplace else, on a different bill. This should not be treated as a significant piece of analysis of LB 775, and therefore should displace what's in the rest of this amendment, so we can go back to LB 775. The fight over disclosure in both the committee and on the floor was, how much do we learn about companies and what they get for LB 775? And to move off that question is to move away from what the public issue of disclosure is. This is not invaluable effort. I'm not saying it's wrong. I'm just saying it's not what the fight is about. And if it isn't, then it can move someplace else, from my perspective, rather than using it at this moment as a way of disturbing the equipoise that's there. Of course, we get to do it. I'm just saying, for those of you other than Senator Beutler, that if you...even if you like Senator Beutler's argument, and I think there's some legitimacy to it, ask yourself, is the public demanding of you knowledge as to whether or not the Revenue Department is accurately following the letter of the law? And the answer comes back, no, that's not what the public is asking you. What the public is asking you is, what are the LB 775 companies getting? What do they get and what do we get? That's what they want to know. This amendment doesn't do anything towards that end. And so in a sense, the legitimacy of it deserves to be someplace else. The opportunity is at the