

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

going to put into practice, conforming to a higher set of standards than what had previously been the case. And I'm thinking that all this is what you want us to do. If it's not, I hope that you will individually let me know if that's not the case. But hopefully you are, almost down the line, supportive of that direction in terms of what the performance audit committee should be doing. And if you are, one of the things that we need is to be sure that under circumstances that are reasonable in all cases, that we in fact have access to all information, because it will occur from time to time that confidentiality provisions will keep us from getting information if we don't otherwise have the statutory authority to do it. And my thought was that rather than to try to change statutes when we're up against a particular audit requirement and suffer the possibility of misunderstanding in terms of trying to get around or overturn a confidentiality provision, that we ought to do that ahead of time, that we ought to identify those particular provisions of the statutes that might impede a performance audit, and take care of them all together...and we will try to do that before the next year...but also, at the same time, not allow additional impediments to develop in the law by virtue of what we pass from year to year, so that we take care of things as they come up one by one. And this is an opportunity to take care of the deficiency with regard to the Department of Revenue. It is an opportunity that is in the natural course of your direction, in terms of where the performance audit committee should be going. And it is also in the natural course of things in that I think you and the public wants to be sure that the LB 775 program is properly run. It is about the largest one we have on the revenue incentive side. And so it seems to me it would make sense that we ought to be sure we have this one under our thumb, again, not in terms of whether this is economically worthwhile to grant all these incentives. The performance audit committee, as someone rightfully pointed out, is not equipped at this point in time to do that, although that could be a function. If you wanted to associate it with the performance audit committee, you could also associate it with the Fiscal Office. It's a severable kind of function. But at a very minimum, even if you do not want the performance audit committee to do that, they ought to be able to do the business of seeing that the statutes are conformed to,