

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

and it does do some things for rural Nebraska. I have had companies out in my area use LB 270. They're not large companies, but it has worked. And I think this will modify it, amend it to where it's more user-friendly. And that, from a rural standpoint, is why I support what we're doing. It's a major change from what came out of committee. But as Senator Bromm just pointed out, things change on the floor. And in order for me to support this at all, we have to put LB 270 back in here, and...because some of these companies that will access this bill as it stands now would not qualify without having changed the LB 775 qualifications. So it's been a twisted road, some places along the line, and rather bumpy. But I think we're headed in the right direction. I likewise oppose the Beutler amendment, and support Senator Bromm's amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Beutler, there are no further lights on. You're recognized to close on AM2052.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, it seems to me that this is a bill where we can do something for everybody. We started out on this, working it on General File. We were all together working for common goals. And why can't we just do what we can on a broad scale, benefiting everybody, benefiting the Legislature as an institution, with some performance auditing, benefitting the urban worker by putting in some measure for the urban workers, as we have done for the rural workers. It would all be good. And I'm going to withdraw this amendment, because this is not really what I want to do. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Did you wish to withdraw the amendment, Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, next item.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Beutler would move to amend Senator Bromm's amendment with AM2054. (Legislative Journal page 1983.)