

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

it explicitly, that the point of reading all those was that wages are so good under LB 775 that we don't need this amendment. But reality belies that assertion. Because if this amendment had no meaning, there wouldn't be anybody opposing it. But apparently it is being opposed, for whatever reasons, which means that there are areas where...employment areas where LB 775 is being employed, where the workers are not being paid at this level. And so there is a need for this, clearly. The assertion that in some selected categories there is not a need doesn't mean that there is not a need in other areas of employment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Price.

SENATOR PRICE: Mr. President, I yield my time to Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, you have almost five minutes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Price, thank you. I wanted to ask a question of Senator Bromm or Senator Landis. Senator Landis is here. Senator Landis, if I could ask you a couple of questions? I just want to get the sequence of events right here, because I'm not sure I'm accurately reconstructing them myself. But with respect to the LB 270, what we refer to as the LB 270 program, which is the subject of the Bromm amendment, that was never a part of the green copy of the bill, right? That was never...?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...never in the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ..green copy of the bill?

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...you respond?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's correct.