

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

other hand, you might ask, well, if you've got a program that doesn't work, there's a way to fix that without putting in another program. You could just end it. But I think he's got some good points to make there. But the second point, and this addresses a little bit, I think, the issue Senator Beutler was raising, in some sense, he suggested this as a precedent setting program for other economic development efforts the state might undertake, including revisions, I guess, of LB 775. One important component of that is the funding for it is capped up front. There is only so much money that would go. A second, and maybe this is not in decreasing order of importance, and second is that there is a wage requirement in the program, which is an important precedent. The third is that the credits are refundable. I continue to have concerns about how that might work and what that might lead the state into as far as trying to retrieve refundable credits gone bad. But be that as it may. There is one other thing, though, that I would like to mention that I think would be an important precedent in terms of a model economic effort, if you will, and that is that there is some opportunity to be selective about the projects that the state would endorse or provide incentive funds for. Now let me back up. LB 775 supporters would argue that, well, there are precedents. You have to do so many jobs and so much investment and so on and so forth, which is true. But that's it. There is no opportunity for screening. And let me give you this sort of an example. There was an economic development meeting this morning in Lincoln, and economic development is a big concern in Lincoln. A plan was being proposed and I didn't hear them say that, look, in terms of economic development we ought to go after anybody. We don't care what interested...industry it is, what kind of a job it is, whether we got compatible services and utilities to go along with it, we just want...we want the business, the jobs, no matter what. That's not the way it's done. I think these efforts are very much targeted. That, I think, is a difficulty you have in the state getting involved in like economic development, particularly the way we do it now. Because as long as you meet those requirements that are in statute, and they have to be somewhat vague and somewhat broad, then you're in. The credits are yours. There have been some efforts to say, well, okay, it's a meatpacking plant. We will draw the line there. You can't do it if you're a meatpacking