

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

can't. I don't know. And in exchange, we get a cheaper, sharper, more targeted genuine program to replace a more expensive, untargeted program, with nothing in it for the workers that I can tell, you know, as far as any standards. And we get a better recapture provision; all of which, I think, put us in better shape. That's why I proposed this to the parties. They didn't offer it to me. And it took them three days to choke the idea down, because there's some good precedents in here that we want to think about. So that's where we are. I support the Bromm amendment. I support LB 608 in this exchange. And I support essentially saying this: leave for tomorrow those fights on LB 775. Leave for tomorrow. And I'll tell you why. I've lost enough of those fights in LB 608 that LB 608 has some really...a real downside to me. And let me give you an example. We say that there are disclosure provisions in LB 608. There are no disclosure provisions in LB 608. We don't ask for one more piece of information from business under LB 608. What we tell the Revenue Department is, take the information that you've gotten,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and put it out to the public in a new form. Put in there some characteristics by wage levels of \$5,000 increments to know where the jobs are. Put them by counties, by clumping counties together. Put it by clumping some industries together. Not a bit new piece of information from the companies; new press release from the Department of Revenue. And the Legislature is going to be on record as, what, solving the disclosure problem of LB 775? It's a provision in my bill. I didn't write it. I didn't want it. I voted against revision, and it's in it. One of the reasons I'd just as soon make this deal is, I don't want to be saddled with that provision. It looks like we're doing disclosure when we're not. I want to start over on that. It's a backwards step for my provision, which is why this, to me, is a good exchange, and why I'd do it. I want you to know my reasoning for that. And that's what this is about.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Bromm on your amendment.