

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Bromm a question, if he will answer.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you respond to a question?

SPEAKER BROMM: Sure would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, I understand from your explanation what the intention is. So if there is an outfit out there that currently is qualified, that is the one which will not be cut off in midstream by this bill. Is that true?

SPEAKER BROMM: That's exactly right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If there is one qualified and it becomes aware of what we're doing, is there anything that would prohibit it from expanding, even if it doesn't carry through on it, just to try to be in a position to recoup more, in case it could successfully expand? Or does this...is this designed, in other words, to hold them right where they are right now?

SPEAKER BROMM: I want to give you the right answer on that. I think it's intended to hold them right where they're at right now. And I'd want to get off the microphone and discuss with legal counsel about whether there's some way they could do something between now and the end of the year to improve their position. And so I'll find that out and put my light on, Senator Chambers. But the intent is to hold them where they're at right now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And that's the only question that I have on your amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I just put my light on to talk about this, because the amendment is fine and it's generally technical in nature. Here's the nature of the exchange that we're doing right now. If we don't do anything this year, we're going to