

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 20, 2003

LB 721

seeking to make is, as between doing it right pursuant to those sections, as opposed to possibly doing it wrong. I mean, we're not legitimizing having attempted to do it but having done it wrong, as opposed to doing it in conformance with those sections. Would that be correct, that "pursuant to" means "in conformance with"?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes, that's right. That's correct, Senator Beutler. Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And that would be true wherever "pursuant to" is used in these sections.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah. Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Would that be correct?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah. That's correct, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And then my second question would be, there's some language here that says that if they created the district in conformance with these repealed sections after the effective date of the act, they'll be treated for all purposes as if formed and organized pursuant to those sections. And then in subsection (b), it says, they "shall be deemed lawful and within the authority of such sanitary and improvement district to perform or conduct after the effective date of this act." And there's some similar language in subsection (c). My question is basically this. With respect to all these situations, after the effective date of the act, this district has to conform with the new and current law. Whatever it may have done before, it has to comply with the new and current law from that point forward. Would that be correct?

SENATOR HARTNETT: That's correct, Senator Beutler

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you very much That's all, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Hartnett, there are no further lights on. He waives his