

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 20, 2003

LB 622

the formula works. So if you wanted to change that, you would need to change the formula. I'm not doing anything with that formula, except ensuring that it would be fully funded. All I'm saying is that the extra money, the sort of the gravy money over and above the formula, rather than being spread out to cities on a sort of a windfall basis would, instead, remain in the General Fund.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: So in other words, that...the extra that was left over, you know, won't be divided amongst the municipalities. It will stay in the General Fund, right?

SENATOR RAIKES: That's correct Senator, yes.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Okay. Thank you. That's all of my comments.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Senator Vrtiska, on AM1956 to LB 622. Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I'll waive off for now, thank you

SENATOR CUDABACK: He waives the opportunity. Senator Engel.

SENATOR ENGEL: Mr. President, members of the body, I stand in support of this amendment and I think Senator Raikes has certainly given us a good reason to do it, because the counties are taking a hit, the schools are taking a big hit, and in this particular case the cities will just not realize as much as they would have realized before. And with the situation we've been in, what we've been working on these last two years, especially this year, I think this is something we definitely should do. It's just fiscally responsible. It will put...give us another \$5 million in our General Fund, which we desperately, desperately need. With that, I turn the rest of my time back to the Chair. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President and members of the body, I also rise to support Senator Raikes' amendment. Have to understand that the money for this fund, according to our counsel, they