

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 20, 2003

LB 407

Schimek, I can understand what she means about the process. But, Senator Schimek, there's a song. I don't remember all of it and I'm not going to sing it, but a part of it says, "wham, bam, alakazam" out of a blue-green polka dot sky. I don't even remember what they were talking about, but obviously it had something to do with love because that's where that crazy kind of talk comes. But without warning, without notification, whatever this "wham, bam, alakazam" means, it happened. Sometimes things in life take place in that manner, but the fact that it happens under those circumstances does not mean the act is not a quality one. It does not mean that the act will not achieve a worthwhile purpose. We have time to look at it and see. But I want to say this last comment before we vote on the bill that the Appropriations Committee had so much to do with. I have been critical in the past of the Appropriations Committee and individual members. Some people even thought I should be thrown out of the Legislature when I made a remark about an unholy alliance between certain committee members and a certain organization, which shall remain nameless because I don't want to open an old wound. But I'm actually proud of what the Appropriations Committee did this session, I genuinely am, and I'm proud to be a member of the Legislature at this point. I don't know if I'll ever say that again in my life. I've never said it before. I've never felt this way before. But to have so much criticism, such a spotlight, so much second guessing on and of everything that the committee did makes that work difficult. They were not given the luxury of a period during the session when they didn't have to come and engage in debates on the floor of the Legislature. They had to be here during that time we were here and then go to work after we had left, deal with all of these contrary forces and opinions and pleadings that were being brought, trying to brush away the chaff and get at the kernel. In other words, is an agency really coming with a legitimate proposal of what can be cut from that agency without hurting it or has an agency selected something which goes to the core of the agency's operation and the committee cannot accept that? And the agency would be in a position to say, we made the offer and the committee rejected it. The committee could be everybody's whipping person, everybody's scapegoat. But at this time, the committee represented us. The committee did our dirty work for us. They