

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2003

LB 209

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...that I have described, but also to allow some provision for dismissal of the ALR in the event of a dismissal of a case on its merits, or a finding of not guilty. So won't have time now to enter into questions, perhaps, and discussion with Senator Chambers on the record. But what I am willing to do, Senator Chambers, to cut right to the chase, is I'm willing to try to find a way for the ALR proceeding to be dismissed if, "A," there's a dismissal of the charge on its merits, there's insufficient evidence, or the officer doesn't show up, and no sufficient testimony to prove the charge, or if there's a trial and there's a finding of not guilty, it should go away. What I'm trying to do is block the procedural tactics that can get involved in these things. And I may have some...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...language here that will do it, but I haven't had quite enough time yet to draft it up. I...I'll get back...I'll put my light on again. Thanks.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Chambers on FA1202.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to engage Senator Bromm in a bit of discussion here.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you respond?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, we both take this area of the law and this bill very seriously. Can I get you to stipulate to that?

SPEAKER BROMM: I stipulate to that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Whatever we do could have far-reaching consequences, some of which we may not perceive right here on