

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2003

LB 95

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Maxwell, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. President. Just to clarify, I think the amendment is fine. I support the amendment. That's all. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: See no further discussion on the Beutler amendment. Senator Beutler, you're recognized to close. And he waives. The question is the adoption of AM1982 to the committee amendments. All in favor vote aye, and opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER BROMM: The amendment is adopted. Is there anything else on the bill, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: I have nothing further pending to the committee amendments, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Jensen, you're recognized to...excuse me. Senator Beutler, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Byars, I'd like to go back just a second, if I could, to that same provision that I had just mentioned. Senator Byars, wanted to go back just a second to that same provision that I had talked about and somehow the language still strikes me as peculiar. It says, "A crematory may be constructed on the property of or property adjacent to any cemetery or funeral establishment that is properly zoned commercial or industrial." So if there is land next to a cemetery or a funeral establishment that is properly zoned commercial or industrial, are we saying that they can build on that land regardless of any other prohibitions, or regardless of...I mean, why do we need to say that? Why can't we just say...why can't we just say a crematorium may be constructed at any location consistent with applicable zoning and environmental regulations? It seems like such convoluted language and I can't