

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 249

SENATOR STUHR: But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I was just mentioning, and you probably were occupied, that...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...a gentleman had approached me, but he was the only one who had talked to me about concerns he had about some parts of the bill, and I told him I didn't have the time to go through what he had given me, that I didn't see the things in the bill that he did so I wouldn't even be able to act on that. But I was trying to see if you were aware of any controversy before I made the flat statement that, other than that approach, I'm not aware of LB 249 having generated any significant opposition or controversy.

SENATOR STUHR: Oh, excuse me. No, I'm sorry, my mind was on the issue that we are now discussing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay.

SENATOR STUHR: But, no, LB 249, I believe Senator Raikes has an amendment which is just a technical amendment...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR STUHR: ...in relation to school fees, so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. The reason I didn't want to make the flat statement about no controversy, I was just speaking for myself, and maybe others who are more intimately involved with the bill had had that surface. The exchange between Senator Foley and me was based on whether or not this amendment that I'm offering would be agreeable to him and if he and I agree on it, if it is not germane to the bill, our agreement would not make it germane. I'm offering the amendment to mitigate the damage that would be done, in my opinion, if Section 71-6909 were to be repealed outright. I deal with a lot of unfortunate young people, and they're not all African Americans. I am visited