

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 249

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. President, I will continue. With the circumstances being what they are, I'm going to continue with the amendment. If you turn to your gadget, you will see language thereon which makes clear what this amendment is. Even with this amendment being adopted I'm not going to say a person could not question in the same way that Senator Louden did whether or not it's appropriate to take something from this section, that Senator Foley and my amendment will deal with, and attach it to a bill of the kind that LB 249 is right now. There are people who don't like LB 249 in its present form. I think a lot of work has been done there. Agreements have been reached. There is at least an understanding on the part of most of us of what LB 249 deals with at this point. I was given a packet of information by a gentleman from one of the schools in a smaller district who is disturbed about some of the language in LB 249 as it exists now, but I explained to him that I didn't have time to review everything he gave me, cross-check it with what's in the bill and make a determination, so he knew that I was not going to act on the information he gave me. I'm not aware that LB 249 has become controversial on the basis of what's in it, so I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr. Senator Stuhr, would you respond?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In its present form, there may be some people who object to some parts of LB 249, but are you aware of any serious controversy surrounding LB 249 as it exists now?

SENATOR STUHR: Just in regards that it is another mandate for the schools and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I meant as far as the language and what it provides.

SENATOR STUHR: No, I don't know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.