

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 208

understand this section of the law. It is very complicated. There are sections in there that clearly need to be rewritten. But that's not our task right now. Our task is to try to put it into order. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne. And this will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Let me give you another provision here. This one is also found on page 50. "Any period of revocation imposed for a violation of section 60-6,196 shall be reduced by any period imposed under section 60-6,206. Any period of revocation imposed under subdivision (1) of section 16 of this act for a violation of section 60-6,196 or under subdivision (2)(a) of section 60-6,196 as such section existed prior to the effective date of this act shall not prohibit the operation of a motor vehicle under the terms and conditions of an employment driving permit issued pursuant to subsection (2) of section 60-6,206." Now, I don't know if that's old language or new language. The fact is, is that it's incomprehensible. And unfortunately, Senator Kruse is up here trying to defend the language, when we heard on General File that the Transportation Committee had recodified and reworded this section of statute. Unfortunately, Senator Fo...or, excuse me, Senator Kruse's bill is contained in this recodification that doesn't make any sense. So I'm kind of at a loss where the Transportation Chair is right now, to try to explain this recodification that really isn't...hasn't been recodified. If this makes sense to anybody in here, vote yes. But I suggest to you that if you don't understand this, you have an obligation to vote no. If we're going to recodify this and clarify this statute, or this section, then let's do it. This is not clear. And that's all I'm suggesting. Senator Kruse has maybe a great idea. I don't know. All I know is, I can't read through all this, this section, that section, to figure out what his idea is. And unfortunately, he's standing up here trying to justify some language that he had no part of. And I don't see Senator Baker here explaining what the recodification is and explaining what this means and what that means. And I think that we have an obligation, if we are going to go forward, to put forth statutes that are clear and comprehensible. This is