

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 208

single cell. This highly specialized, totipotent cell marked the beginning of each of us as a unique individual. That's the language that our medical students are being instructed today at the University of Nebraska Medical Center, and they're being instructed across the country using that textbook. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Foley and Senator Johnson. Senator Kruse.

SENATOR KRUSE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I want to respond to the thoughts that this is complicated. (Laugh) If I may fall back into another life, I'll say, amen. It surely is. It's not nearly as complicated as it was. The language is very complex, the existing language. We did not attempt to rewrite that, except when there was a case of error of words. For instance, the use of the word "suspension" is improper use and doesn't fit with other law. So that's changed to "revocation". But the basic language is not changed. What we did do is remove many pages of that material. And you'll note in the amendment, those pages still show, there's other pages that have been deleted completely, whole sections. What we did do was rearrange the present material, which was scattered all through the statutes, so that there is a section on, what is the law regarding DUI, and the next section, what are the penalties. That's the recodification, to bring it all together in one place. At present, we're scattered all over the place, and some penalties and law were simply missed by counselors who were not used to the sections and did not do sufficient research. I see this bill as a step, a highly important step in putting this material together in such a way, in such a close way, that we can identify those areas that are complex. It does add one area of complexity, that is, on the first item that Senator Bourne referred to, it has a double section of sections to refer to. This is on somebody who has been convicted in previous...of previous violations. So you have to refer to the sections in the current law that the person violated, as well as sections in the revised codification that the person may have violated. It does make for complicated reading, but it's straightforward. And that's the way it is. There's no other way to do it. We are making a major step, a very important step forward in helping prosecutors, defense attorneys, and the public to