

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 208, 775

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. And again, I really don't have any trouble with the amendment that Senator Foley is doing. But I'm trying to get some clarification on the bill itself. And I read you earlier a portion of the bill that I think is indecipherable. And then the legal counsel for the committee comes over and says, well, that's already in the statute. And I say, well, that kind of illustrates my point. I know that this is a recodification and it's trying to simplify our DUI laws, but I think it's made it more complicated. If Senator Kruse would yield to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kruse.

SENATOR KRUSE: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Kruse. And again, I appreciate what you're trying to do here. And I just...I want to help you in any way that I can. I just wanted to be clear so that we know exactly what we're doing. And I had...earlier I had talked about, if the court places such person on probation or suspends a sentence for any reason, the court shall, as one of the conditions of the probation, order that that operator's license be revoked for a period of one year. And I...when I read that language, it appeared to me that we were saying that even if a judge suspends a sentence, for whatever reason, the minimum punishment is one year license suspension. And then your legal counsel had pointed out that that's existing statute. And I guess I'm just looking for clarification as to exactly what this does. I read through the bill and it's terribly complex. I read through your summary on your green sheet, and it's very simplified. And I...can you help me out, exactly what you're doing here?

SENATOR KRUSE: In the pulling together of the LB 775 with the base recodification, the style of bill writing keeps all of those passages underlined, even though they're not new.

SENATOR BOURNE: I understand.

SENATOR KRUSE: I (inaudible)...