

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2003

LB 208

agree with it. We want to protect the public as much as possible. But we have to do it in a manner that everyone can understand it. And I understand that this is a total rewrite of the drunken driving, or DUI code. But I just find it to be...in a sense, it makes me wonder...and Senator Raikes, don't take this the wrong way...if Senator Raikes wrote this and tried to make it as complicated as the school aid formula, because that's equally indecipherable. But that's one of my concerns, is that this cannot be understood by the average person. And that is our obligation, to put forth laws that the average person can understand. And in a brief reading through it again this morning, on page 49 there's another provision. And I'm going to ask Senator Chambers a question or two, because he has pretty...he's pretty experienced in criminal law. But this provision is found on page 49, and it says, if the court places such person on probation or suspends the sentence for any reason, the court shall, as condition of probation or sentence suspension, order the operator license of such person to be revoked for a period of one year. So Senator Chambers, if you're listening, I'm going to ask you a quick question. What this says to me is that if the prosecutor decides to suspend the sentence, or a judge suspends a sentence, this individual is still punished by having his license revoked for a period of one year. Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR BOURNE: Have you read this provision that I'm talking about?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I read the bill...I've attempted to read and understand it several times.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, here on page 49, it talks about, if the court places such person on probation or suspends a sentence for any reason. Now suspending the sentence, can that come from either the judge or the prosecutor? Or just the judge? I'm on amendment...