

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 283, 285, 287, 379, 407, 759

another bill, I can't really imagine what it would be. The amendment that we just put into LB 283 is also in LB 759. The liquor provisions are in LB 759. There is nothing more that we need to do and, yet, we hesitate. And I guess I'm willing to go through this too, but I ask the Speaker, if it wasn't time to adjourn, to give us all time to think of whether we want to go through all of these bills again. We have this bill. We have LB 285. We have LB 379. We have LB 287. We would have to fill in to LB 287 the construction provisions, if we wanted to use that, because LB 287 right now I think only deals with repair services in a much different form than what is in Senator Brashear's bill. Senator Hartnett's income tax bill is there. The Governor is against additional income taxes, so that bill doesn't do us any good if LB 759 comes back. The Governor is against the extension of the sales tax base, so construction services, we can't add that back into any revenue bill, should it come back. The Governor is against automobile repair sales tax extension so we can't put that into any bill if it comes back. The Governor is only for one revenue bill and that's the cigarette tax bill. If we come back, we're going to be asked to put two hundred million to two hundred and forty or fifty million, at most, revenue into a bill and pass it, and he's going to whack down LB 407 and try to put us in a position of doing damage to the university and damage to the K-12 system further than we've already done, and I don't want to be in that position. We are setting ourselves up to be whacked. I don't understand why we want to put ourselves in that position. Why do we want to have that struggle? Is there somebody here that wants to cut K-12 more? Is there somebody that wants to cut the university more? The last motions on the university were to put more money back into the spending bill. So at least from the point of view of the position that I've taken on this matter and from the point of view that 33 others, 30 others in one case and 38 others in the other case, have taken on this matter, there's no reason to be going back. There's no reason to set ourselves up for defeat. If you send a revenue bill over to the Governor's Office and he sees us over here scurrying to build up alternative revenue bills, what's he going to do? You know what he's going to do in that case, because he has nothing to lose. But I think we should do the responsible thing and lay it at the Governor's doorstep and he can choose whether he wishes to do