

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 759

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear, would you respond?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And let me just comment that this version of things seems a lot clearer than anything we've had before. So we certainly are getting there. Going back again to the language on page 1, line 16 through 21, that's what I really want to talk about, but with reference to that, the refund provision that doesn't apply to that section but applies to a similar kind of renovation, or similar in scale anyway. That refund language, which is on page 3, had reference to a penalty section of the statute that would be applicable with regards to transactions that involved a refund. Now on the other kind of major renovation, there is no refund process, as you pointed out, but there is the requirement of a notice and we've talked about that some. And the notice is as to the nature of the project, but then it goes on and an explanation of why the renovation will qualify for the exemption. And I take it that the contractor makes a statement with regard to the fact that this is qualifying for the exemption. And if the contractor provides false information in that instance, is the contractor subject to 77-2705, subsection (8), just as if he had given false information on a claim for refund?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: My thought would be yes, clearly.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, that would be your intent, okay. Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Beutler, since you gave me some of your time earlier, do you want any more time or are you all right? Thank you. Well, Senator Brashear, if you would respond again, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Brashear?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.