

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 759

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I am going to try and discuss some of these items that have come up on the floor, but I would like to direct your attention, if I could please, to the blue sheet that points out we're not talking about some little incidental amount and some little incidental activity that just sometimes, occasionally occurs in our state. If this wasn't a major part of our economy, it wouldn't raise \$55 million. So it's not that we're going to inconvenience a few people. It's...we are talking about a major matter. Now let's turn to Senator Stuthman's concerns as an example. And for ease, I'm going to, instead of using his \$250 project, I'm going to use his \$200 project. If somebody does a \$200 project a day, every single project for a different person, we all know using old-fashioned math that they are grossing \$1,000 a week. And if they are grossing \$1,000 a week doing one \$200 a day project for one person each day, \$200 from the project times 5 days is \$1,000 a week. And \$1,000 a week, if we just round it off to 50 weeks a year, is \$50,000. So it's not a little operator. It's a little project but it's not a little operator. And for \$50,000 worth of income, that person who does small projects, can afford to get a permit and comply with the law. I don't...somebody raised the issue off the table, I don't know that there is any exemption for lemonade stands. But I doubt that they are remitting sales tax and I doubt that they are getting a permit. But we don't let the existence of noncompliant lemonade stands affect whether or not we do...we tax businesses, for example. And the point I want to focus on is Senator Stuthman was talking with others, but when we are talking \$55 million, we are not talking a few operators who occasionally a year do a few small projects for a few people. It wouldn't raise \$55 million, if that were true. It's simply not the fact. So if you can be in the business, whether you're a big operator or a small operator, if you make your living at it, it's like being a big farmer or a small farmer. It's like being a master electrician or a helper. It goes along with your scheme for earning your living and doing the work, there is some regulation in our society. I think that Senator Jensen, with his illustration of the project that he, as a contractor, started at \$6,000 and finished at \$65,000, is the classic argument for the refund mechanism. If he started that as a \$6,000 project, and I'm making some assumptions that I