

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 320

out. There are some discussions going on and I want the body to know there's some discussions going on, but I'm going to continue to speak against the Beutler amendment. I may not use the full time. I look forward to the others of you talking and we'll continue to work on this for what I hope is the common good. I have explained the deficit. I've explained the General Fund obligation. I've explained what I think...I think...I understand that personalities enter into these things and I understand that some people react to different personalities different ways. And so therefore, sometimes personalities, in and of themselves, become a factor. But I was trying to indicate that...and have said on the record, and I stand by it, no one has threatened any litigation in any discussions with me and I doubt...I wouldn't want that tone to be abroad on the floor simply because it's not accurate. That what we're talking about in terms of not...take increasing costs to judges, increasing contribution to judges, and not giving any benefit enhancement does raise just basic legal issues. The final point that I'd like to make is that statistics, you know how good and how bad they are, and I am not going to let pass without some comment an attempt to excite you all to believe that all of a sudden there will be a 15.95, or in round numbers, 16 percent employer contribution to this program. If you will look at, and Senator Beutler pointed out the other programs and the employer contributions, those contributions have been at that level or something corresponding proportionately to it for a long, long time. If there had been an employer contribution approaching anything near what's put here or what the rest of the plans had, we wouldn't have been having this discussion in the first place. We wouldn't have a \$750,000 absolute actuarially confirmed deficit, and we wouldn't have all this rampant speculation, used emotionally and pejoratively against trying to resolve the issue, projecting the deficit suddenly at a million and a half and getting worse and projected to get worse. We're trying to responsibly address an issue here and, while certainly you can argue that what the exhibit shows as "Judges (now)," you can argue that, that's accurate, those are fact, but there isn't suddenly going to be a 16 percent employer contribution that will be so wonderful for all the years we've missed. Factor it out on an average. Factor it out on a median and figure out what it was. So having said that, I will also indicate to you