

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2003

LB 320

without giving new benefits. That's the argument. And in my opinion, what's happening here is that people are threatening lawsuits against the committee, saying, you know, we're going to sue you unless you adopt an amendment that gives us additional benefits. And I think that is bad. And I don't think that the court case necessarily says that at all, and obviously the committee didn't believe that the court case said that because the committee put out the increase in contributions with no other increase in benefits other than the huge amount we put in to make the system right. Okay? So obviously they didn't buy that interpretation of what's called the Halpin case. So I don't think that it's appropriate in any regard to have the committee bullied in this way into adopting an amendment that they didn't think was appropriate at this particular point in time. Now let me...let me tell you...let me ask you to look at one more chart and it will show you why the committee didn't think it was appropriate. It's the chart entitled "Defined Benefit Plan Contribution Cost Comparison," and in particular, at least at this moment in time, the two columns I wanted you to look at are the ones called "Member Contribution" and "Employer Contribution." And you'll see the first line, it says "Judges (now)," the member pays in 4.53 percent; the employer pays in 3.52 percent. That shows you, as you look at the comparisons here, that the state probably did need to put in some more money in order...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...to make this much like the State Patrol and the teachers' plan. Look down at the State Patrol plan--member contribution, 11; employer contribution 13. Look at the teachers--member contribution, 7; employer contribution, 8. So the employer contribution should have been a little bit higher, but what actually happened? AM0805, the committee amendment, employer contribution is at 6.97...employee contribution; but the employer contribution goes way up to 12.88. And then, if you add the amendment I'm talking about, which was the Brashear amendment, it even makes that worse. So I would argue that the bare minimum that we have to do is bad enough, and these are out of kilter, but to go forward with the Brashear amendment and make the employer contribution more than double the employee