

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2003

LB 407

Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Johnson.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. President, members of the body, not going to spend a lot of time on this issue, but this morning in the discussion I mentioned that I was getting softer in my guardianship of the Highway Trust Fund, and as this discussion has gone on I must say that I signed onto this with Senator Schrock for this reason. This morning we talked about economic development in this state. I think that maybe spending a few million dollars over at the University of Nebraska system will do a lot more for the economic development of this state than some roads built in areas that very few people travel on those roads. So I'm going to support this amendment. I think a few million dollars at the university will do a lot more good to the state of Nebraska. Thank you. I'll return my time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Johnson. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, Senator Schimek mentioned the name of Senator Warner, and it was one time Senator Hall sat up there where Senator Synowiecki is sitting and we're kind of in about the same time of the session and so forth and Senator Hall was...and Senator Warner was home sick, was missing, and Senator Hall started to do something like Senator Schrock, take into the trust fund, and I'd hate to have Senator Warner walk back here but in his...but I...so that was his baby and I rise to oppose this amendment and continue the tradition of...not in the same...Senator Raikes is from 45 or from 25, I'm for 45 or 40, what (inaudible), 45, yeah, but I simply oppose the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. You know, I want to say that Senator Schrock has every right to suggest this and I don't want him to think that, for any reason, that I would say that his idea doesn't have merit. He has a right to propose this. For my part, I thought we worked pretty hard to get to