

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2003

LB 407

one; and the first class cities, which overwhelmingly have sales taxes, might well be able to be broken out under an aid program. You can't match it perfectly. But if you were to do those three classes of cities, you'd have a handle on who does sales taxes, and who's likely to get a windfall. Are there second class cities with sales taxes? Yes. But you might do something that says, look, our best estimate is, the windfall is "X" amount of money; we will reduce aid by half that amount, and we'll only reduce that in the cities...the classes of cities that overwhelmingly use sales tax, meaning metropolitan, primary, and first class cities. I think you'd want to be generous here. I think you wouldn't want to try to do a dollar for dollar, as this does it. I think you'd want to do it in a rough justice. I think you'd want to do it no more than half. But I'd frankly say that if you wanted to get to the windfall, that might be one way of doing it. We don't do aid...our aid now by classes of city. But my guess is that you could go back into the aid program and differentiate it by class of city, so that you could accommodate this. To me, that's the only way you can accommodate this idea and make it fit. Reduce the money of the windfall in half, and apply it to the aid of those classes of cities that overwhelmingly use the sales tax, and that's metro, primary, and first class cities. Will it be a perfect match? No. There will be some first class cities without it, meaning sales tax. And there will be some second class cities who have it, and they'll get to keep their windfall. But a rough justice way. It seems to me you could do that. You could use your aid number, make a rough prediction, cut it in half, and put it against those classes of cities. Would I do a dollar for dollar? No. The estimates will be bad. Our estimates will be very, very shaky. But if we wanted to try to recapture some of the windfall, it seems to me you could do it that way. And I would try to be generous, as opposed to...

SENATOR BAKER: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...a skinflint on analyzing where the windfall is going to be. In other words, I would take a conservative estimate of what the windfall would be, and then I'd cut it in half, if I was doing it. Thank you.