

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2003

LB 407

That's...

SENATOR BAKER: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...good legal work. But the issue here is not that we've given them the ability to tax the base and therefore we can't take it back. That isn't what we're saying. What we're dealing with is we give aid to cities that need aid. If we are causing you, by our act here, to receive additional revenue then you don't need the aid, so please rebate the lesser of the aid we give you because you need it or the tax you receive from the broadening of the tax base that we do.

SPEAKER BROMM: I can see where we can reduce the aid, no question, but how can we, if you get by the logistical problems of identifying what that additional windfall is, which I don't understand either, but how can we possibly have them rebate their sales tax to us which only their voters...

SENATOR BAKER: Time.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...have approved for their purposes?

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Bromm and Senator Brashear. Next is Senator Schrock, followed by Senator Burling, Wehrbein, Landis, and others. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, in light of this conversation, I'm going to yield my time, most of my time, to Senator Bromm. But just wanted to tell you I'm in favor of the concept Senator Brashear has brought forward and, unlike the state, a lot of our cities are seeing quite a tremendous increase in valuation, which that in itself is a windfall. And I will remind you Senator Brashear is not trying to take away money they already have. He's trying to take away the windfall and give that back to the state, which I would like to see be a state resource. I'm also concerned about the inequity of the city sales tax. Some cities do quite well. You take Sidney, York and Kearney with their big motel and eating establishments alongside the interstate. They do quite well. And we're not going to do anything about that this year, but I'm concerned about the