

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2003

LB 407

revenue. That's the message. Now you might be saying, well, the message might be we want deeper cuts; that's why we're rejecting it. But you can't get deeper cuts without cutting the university even more and without cutting K-12 even more. You can't get significantly deeper cuts. And I guess I just would find it very hard to believe that you really want to do either of those more than what this amendment is suggesting, because this is pretty bad in and of itself. So I am hoping you reject it, because I think if you do reject it, it means you have a commitment to look for maintaining or at least substituting amounts that would maintain the Brashear bill. Brashear's bill is at \$342 million right now. You could leave it that way. You could take out repairs and substitute income tax for repairs. Those would be the two main choices you would have, and then you wouldn't have to cut K-12 and you wouldn't have to cut the university. But I think you need to think clearly about it. The...you cannot cut more without more damage to the university and K-12. You have the choice of increasing revenues by maintaining the Brashear bill at roughly its current level, and maybe somewhat reduced from 342, because there are a couple of things out there that we know about that you may approve of. You may be able to reject this amendment and raise...and then raise revenues to the level of about \$330 million, which would allow you to reduce the Brashear bill by \$12 million and still avoid this cut. So I hope you do reject this, but if you're not willing to fight the revenue fight then take this amendment and let's call it a decent compromise and let's be done with this desperate matter. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Bromm, followed by Senator Mines.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. Want to thank Senator Beutler for his willingness to go against what his heart tells him to do in the spirit of compromise in supporting this amendment. Maybe he isn't going to support it, but he signed onto it so I hope he will. I think he will, and I thank him for that. I would like to say just a word to Senator Schimek's concerns, and her concerns are certainly no different, I don't think, than the rest of us. We all have stories in our own districts about stress on our schools and decisions and