

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 407

believe in year two is \$10 million, this increased capacity for parole will be savings ongoing. I mean, if we can significantly increase the number of inmates being paroled timely, we're in for long-term savings, not just a biennium savings here, members. We're talking about long-term savings with the adoption of Senator Pedersen's amendment, to kind of streamline things; to provide for fluency in our parole classifications; to get these inmates out, under community supervision. So it's long term. Look at it long term as well. There's going to be savings involved long beyond this biennium. Thank you, members.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to support Senator Pederson's amendment to delay the implementation, but I still will vote against the amendment. I seriously believe that there is a lot of ramifications here that we are not aware of. This is a maximum/medium security facility that we're proposing to close. And in my simple mind, if parolees are due out, I don't think many of them will be maximum/medium security, so it's going to be scattered throughout the system, as I understand it. I recognize this has legs in here and I'm not going to stop it, but I will say that if there's an estimation of what the status would show, in terms of dollars saved, that it's going to be very, very difficult to pinpoint any significant savings, at least for this first year, or the second year, I should say. This has far more ramifications than it appears. It appears to be a good idea, I'm not denying that. One of the reasons I suggested that Senator Pedersen have a hearing on it is so a lot of these can be brought out. Senator Pedersen, we did have a hearing on McCook. We did have a hearing on Omaha Correctional Center as part of our budget. So it wasn't that it wasn't...we didn't have a hearing. It was done in a different way, but the mechanism was still there for the hearing process. And as near as I understand this, this did not have a hearing at all and understand the ramifications. Once again, many of the programs in LCC support other parts of the prison system. And so I think, first of all, it's going to be very difficult to define the savings because many of those are going to have to be