

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 46, 407

there will be continually prisoners coming in, whether you could fit them within the existing system without busting the parole system at the other end; whether even LB 46 would accommodate this kind of a change. There are enormous questions. I recognize the frustration that people may be feeling about not being able to close a big facility, Norfolk or a regional center or Lincoln Regional Center or whatever, or a prison or some other facility. But what we've always done in those cases, and I think it has been a thoughtful process, although a longer process, is to at least examine carefully the implications of making a particular major change. Now with regard to the Work Camp, that was brought up. There was a public hearing on it. Everybody in McCook had an opportunity to come in and tell us why it was a bad idea, and we decided it was a bad idea. Same thing with the Omaha youth facility, and neither of those facilities are anywhere near as big a deal as this is. This is many times multipliers larger in terms of the number of prisoners involved and the effect upon the entire system would have...the effect that it would have upon the entire system to close such a large major facility. But the Appropriations Committee has been frustrated by the number of bills in other committees that called for structural change that were killed within the committees. But that's the process. And if Senator Pedersen wants to close this facility, I think Senator Brashear is certainly open to considering that as an alternative. It should come through the Judiciary Committee. It should be coordinated with recently-passed LB 46, which may itself have bugs and problems. There should be a public hearing on it. Corrections should have some opportunity other than indirect comments from the lobby with respect to the problems on this matter. So all together, this is not a good way of doing things. Putting on the Pederson amendment is helpful. It would give a period of consideration, but it...it is not correcting what should be a straightforward process from the beginning on something of this scale. So I hope you'll adopt the Pederson amendment, but I don't think the amendment should go forward even with the Pederson amendment. It should come back to the Judiciary Committee, and they ought to have an opportunity to look at this in the context of the entire prison system and make a decision and a recommendation to us. Thank you.