

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 407

because of disagreements with the officeholder. Nobody, including the judiciary, has been more aggressive in bringing to justice, if you want to call it that, misbehaving judges, but I will never knowingly and intentionally do anything that would harm the judiciary as an institution. So even while being on the trail right now of at least one rogue judge, I am supportive of additional money going to strengthen the judiciary. Some fees are going to be increased, filing fees. That bill has been advanced to General File. One of the fee increases will fund an advocacy agency that assists rural counties in handling defense work. That money is, in a sense, an appropriation to the rural community. Money going to that advocacy agency from the state diminishes the amount that the counties would have to come up with. The Appropriations Committee had laid some money aside for that advocacy commission. With the fee increases that I feel certain will be enacted into law, the money that the Appropriations Committee was going to give to the advocacy commission is available for the purposes that I am seeking to achieve by this amendment. Right now, there are four lawyers in the Attorney General's Office which helps rural prosecutors when they have very difficult cases and need somebody with experience, knowledge, and competency in the law. Being completely frank, I have to tell you that there are people who become county attorneys because they can't get any other work. Some of them are part-time. Many of them have no experience whatsoever. If I were of a mind to see people cut loose who have done wrongful things, I certainly would not be offering this amendment. It would be to my advantage, if I truly am one of those who supports law violators, it would be to my advantage and the law violators not to have those experienced lawyers in the Attorney General's Office handling some of these cases that are beyond the ken of rural prosecutors. I'm not saying every rural prosecutor is not competent, but I'm being frank. There is a need there. Were there not such a need, those four lawyers in the Attorney General's Office wouldn't be dedicated to that purpose. In addition, there would be the employment of three additional lawyers to handle burgeoning methamphetamine prosecutions. It is expected that some federal money currently going to the Attorney General's Office will not be there. This Legislature agreed to send \$300,000 to Omaha for lead abatement to carry it over a gap. The same thing is going to be done with