

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 407

\$760 million gap; now we're talking a \$354 million gap. Some of you are saying that's not enough; we want to get down to \$300. The question raises in my mind, if we'd had that down to \$200 million, would you have wanted to go to \$150? I mean I've seen cattle sold that way. That's how you bid. No matter how much you're offered, you want more. That's just a cynicism that I shouldn't bring up. But I want to go back to using the committee cuts. One of the reasons the committee took a 10 percent cut, and that's what's being offered here, that we went back to committee cuts, the process is, the process is every agency automatically takes a 10 percent cut. Then they come in and tell us what those implications are to that budget. So it really isn't that they want to even offer that 10 percent. It really isn't that we do or don't want to take that 10 percent. We want to find...it's a form of zero-based budget, and a lot of people say, why don't you use zero based? Why do you go back to zero when you know in most state agencies with people and people and people and personnel, why would you go back to zero when you know you're going to have to have the natural resources, and the Equal Opportunity Commission, and the Supreme Court, and on and on and on? Why would you go back to zero? So this is a modified form of zero basing. We go back to 90 percent. If you had to operate on 90 percent of your budget, i.e., 10 percent cut, what would you do? And so when we took a 10 percent cut from many agencies we knew from the start that they were going to be severe, and they knew that and many of them told us exactly what would happen. It's an education process for our committee. Should be an education process for you, but we know what it is. But it isn't that that cut is the realistic one, especially in those large central agencies, such as Medicaid. By the way, I was looking up...if you want to look at page 5 in your goldenrod thing, it shows the amount of General Fund appropriations that we've done. If my figures are correct, we've added \$161 million to this year's budget. We've increased spending in this next year, two years of the biennium, \$161 million. Isn't that terrible? Isn't that outrageous? Outrageous! Do you know how much our Medicaid spending went up and our care for the needy? Two hundred and five million. And then we're nailed in the paper because states have...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.