

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 407

that money that...you know, how do we balance the interests of the Legislature with the reality of the funds? Absolutely right, one opinion, AM1763, one opinion only, mine, not somebody that stands behind the glass, not somebody that sits in an office in this building anywhere with the exception of one person. That's my legislative aide. We sat down and we went over the budget. They were our ideas. I've heard discussions privately about, well, I wonder who put him up to this. The residents of the 47th Legislative District did, because I told the body that I was going home on a weekend and people said, why isn't there a compromise, why isn't there a middle ground? I said, I don't know, I just don't see it happening. And I decided, why not? Let's try it. Let's give it a shot. Let's send out some markers about where things could be headed and what things could be done. That's where AM1763 came from. I also appreciate the comments from Senator Raikes. Now it's kind of ironic the things I didn't do he's most supportive of, and the things that I did do he's hesitant on, but I do want to explain one thing. This morning at 10:35 I received the amendment. This morning at 10:42 we began debate. Now over the weekend people were asking, well, what's in it; what's in it? You know who knew? And I heard Senator Chambers say this, this morning. You know, if my coat sleeves knew I would burn my coat. That was the mentality that I had, was I've got to know for sure what I'm going to offer to you as a body is a sound policy that protects the priorities. And so I apologize to the body that you didn't have advance notice, but I had seven minutes with the amendment before I showed it to you. So the old scribbling out the numbers right before the term paper was due was what happened. The other side of this, the reason that I chose this strategy versus some that I see being offered is comprehensive versus piecemeal. I'm not sure any amendment I would have offered any other member would have been adopted anyways. I'm not convinced of that yet. And by putting things together in a comprehensive package I believe that I stood a better chance of presenting a compelling argument for going to a certain number by a different vehicle than what others were using. So it was comprehensive. I could have filed 40 amendments. I could have started last Monday, as I worked one up filed it up there, but I had to figure out how that fit in. We didn't ask the Appropriations Committee to send out amendment