

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 13, 2003

LB 407

increase taxation in general is poor economic philosophy. I was going to...Senator Erdman looks like he's busy. I was actually going to ask him if he could yield to a quick question. Unless he is extremely...it looks like he was extremely busy. Now he's not.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Erdman, would you yield, please?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR FRIEND: Senator, one of the items, like I said, that not necessarily scares me, but is of a concern, is the extension of LB 1085. Has there been any thought given to possibly putting a sunshine (sic) on that, a four...two- or four-year, you know, piece that would, I don't know, assure some folks that we're pretty serious about tax cuts and that philosophy as far as...?

SENATOR ERDMAN: There has been discussions. Obviously, under LB 407, that's not the place to have it. But I honestly would...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...be more comfortable if we would make that maybe a four-year situation, because we are facing some unique times here, to sunset it in four years, as opposed to the two, to get us through this biennium and the next biennium. Because I think we owe it to the citizens. If that's what we sold it as, is a temporary, we also need to try and keep that with...that faith with them. Granted, it's going to be an extension of how temporary that is. I would feel more comfortable with that. But obviously, regardless of whether that's in it or not, there would have to be about that revenue to do something like I'm proposing.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thanks. One more quick question. When you're compare...the...at the bottom, where you have on your...the sheet you handed out, comparison versus the other plans, other potential savings to LB 407, these amendments are out there right now, or not?