

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 8, 2003

LB 759

less going up much higher. Second thing I wanted to give another dimension to. The argument is made that this is against middle-income and low-income. Well, there may be some truth to that. I don't...I haven't seen any figures on that. But on the other hand, if you don't have that \$50 million, one of the areas where these cuts is going to...are going to come from are the aid that we give to individuals on low income. I mean, part of what we're doing here by keeping this budget up is maintaining the help to the people who really need it the most, Medicaid and the various programs that we have. So if we don't have this income, we don't help the poor, who are the beneficiaries of our various programs. And they are the neediest of the needy. So there's another dimension to that. Thirdly, and last, I can't tell you how many times when it's come to debates like this, I've heard it get down into the details, and people arguing about, well, how would this apply and how would that apply. And it's good to do that. I'm not criticizing that altogether by any means. However, however, that question pertains to almost any sort of service you would tax, and to most of the products that you would tax. There are exceptions, there are extensions, there are all sorts of details. I've never seen so many details put into a statute as we've done in this case already. And we're doing it because we've probably had more debate on this and more thought on this, and we're trying to cover all the areas. But the fact of the matter is, in most cases, and perhaps not so much in this case, there are going to be rules and regulations. We give the Department of Revenue the power to make rules and regulations to interpret and to define the little crevices and the little things that we can't think about. We do this not just in taxation, but in a whole number of areas. And if they define them poorly, we come back and restructure the statute to clarify a particular small situation in this or that part of the law that is attempted to be applied. We do that all the time. I'm not advocating that we don't foresee as many of these situations as possible. I'm simply saying, it would be wrong...if you believe that this general reform is in fact tax reform that we need, it would be wrong to stop it because there are...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.