

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 598

that you let coffee percolate. If you have any questions of me, I am prepared to answer them. But I do want to make this comment. Half of you will be gone before I am. I have teased and jested about the fact that I'm going to get rid of half of you before I go; then the rest I'll take out of here with me. There is nobody on this floor that I have had such disagreements with or that I have so little regard for that I would want to see him or her taken out of this body by way of term limits. It's not for me to say who is sent here by people in another district. I say, if they want to send a mule skinner, they have a right to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...send a mule skinner here. And nobody outside of that district should dictate who is going to be sent here. So I'm in one of those situations where over the summer I'm going to have a little more time. There's been some research I've been just kind of toying around with that I'm going to take more seriously, and see what I can come up with. But in the meantime, this is like a fallback. And that's all that I will offer, Mr. President. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I thank Senator Brashear. His comments, I think, were humorous. They were humorous to those of us on the floor. But they were also on point in terms of, yes, there are some folks that I would like to see sitting here, who are very critical, and give them the experience and the opportunity. But what I really stood up to say is that there is, in my view, a real ironic twist to term limits as we have them in Nebraska. We have a court that has ruled that a properly worded, correctly structured term limits is valid, and it does stand. And as far as we know, we currently have that in existence in Nebraska. However, a court...a different court has also ruled that a duly elected representative, duly elected by their constituents, has to be seated in the office that they were elected to. Now, where the irony comes in, and where I see this, is that many