

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 598

there were a lot of issues being discussed the day we had this in the...at the Exec Board. And here is what the plan is. Next session would be the one we would vote to put it on the ballot. What we want to do is move the bill, or the proposal, to Final Reading, and let it sit there. We would see if, between the time we get out of session now and come back into session January, there had been developed by these groups that say they're opposed to term limits what you might call a groundswell, we hope it would reach that level, of support to do away with term limits. But we would not move it all the way through the process and vote this session to put it on the ballot. There is no way we could have any public discussion, and certainly not the development of public support, between now and when this session ends, which is around the first of June. So if we voted to put it on the ballot this session, we don't know what the public's attitude might be by the time it goes to the ballot. But we will have an idea to gauge that between the end of this session and the beginning of next session, because at any time next year we can vote to put it on the ballot. If there is not the groundswell, then we will not vote to submit it to the people. When Senator Erdman was asking me about this, I was saying that it wouldn't make sense for us to put it to a vote of the people without seeing any evidence that they'd changed their mind. And the analogy I gave is that there would be an angry elephant up there, nothing between him and us but air, and we'd take a brick and hit him on his head with it. Well, we're not going to get anything other than a good trampling. So if we were to take this and put it on the ballot, vote to put it on the ballot right now, it would be unwise. So...and I talked to Senator Engel, and those of us who were at the meeting, and we recollected what our plan would be. We will put it to Final Reading and just let it sit there. And if things do not develop in the public domain to the point where we think there's at least a fighting chance of it being successful, we will not put it out there. We do not want the public to simply vote to say, we did it before and we're going to show you now that we mean it even more now than before. So I hope that might take away some of the concern that my colleagues may have had about our trying to do it all in the remaining days of this session. What we do want to do is move it to Final Reading. And it stays there, and we see what develops, in the same way