

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 598

when I was talking with Mike McCabe, from the Midwestern Legislative Conference, not too long ago, and I mentioned this to the Exec Board, of the 30 new senators that came into the house and senate this years, the 30 new legislators, only one of them was actually brand new. Twenty-two of them had changed houses. So we won't have that advantage in Nebraska. We will have fewer legislators with experience than any other term-limited legislature in the country, and I think we ought to keep that in mind. What made me think about that was I was at a conference, and was listening to some panel discussion on term limits, and a professor from California indicated that California had truly been attracted to the idea of unicameralism. And I don't know, I'm sure Senator Wehrbein and Senator Chambers and Landis and others remember, we actually had visitors from San Diego who came and they were seriously looking at an idea of a unicameral. That professor said, nobody is entertaining these ideas now, because they're term limited, and they wouldn't...you know, they'd be in a really bad fix if they had a unicameral legislature. So I think we need to keep those facts in mind. One of the things I asked on a survey to my constituents this...just right before the session, or actually, right after the session started, was I asked them if they favored term limits. And 106 said yes, and 102 said no. But when you asked them, on whom did they wish to place the term limits, only 79 of them said on legislators. On governors, they said 93...93 of them said yes. County commissioners, 67 of them said yes. City council, 66. So there wasn't...certainly wasn't a 50 percent. It was more like, probably, 35 percent of them thought we...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...should have term limits on legislators. So I think there is some understanding out there among the public. The question that we really need to ask ourselves is, when is the best time to see that something goes on the ballot? And if we don't do it in 2004, then it's going to be 2006 before we can do it again. And that means that those people who are going to run in 2006 won't have the advantage of having term limits already passed. And so you will have some term limits kicking in at some point. So I would just encourage you or encourage us