

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 283

the increase in the taxation on liquor was not high enough, and that half of it was earmarked. Both of these problems have been corrected in this amendment as offered by Senator Chambers. I think maybe rather than looking from the negative standpoint of what alcohol does to society, which maybe we all know deep down, maybe we ought to look at what \$20 million might do. Twenty million dollars come pretty close to being the budget for our three state colleges. It would mean that a lot of programs at UNK would be allowed to continue. It would mean a lot of things at the University of Nebraska that would continue. Is it reasonable that we raise what many of us have considered to be a tax too low for too long a time up to a reasonable level to fund some of these programs? I think it is. I'll give the rest of my time to Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Chambers, you have two minutes and 50 seconds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the Legislature, when we get to the mainline budget, some people are going to try to cut the university. I hope I don't hear a single whiner from Lincoln stand up and whine about not cutting the university. I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question, so that I can get some figures straight, if he has them at his fingertips.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Wehrbein, would you yield, please?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, in the present form of the mainline budget, what is the dollar amount that will be cut from UNL, if you know?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I do. Fourteen point one.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if...does that...now, if we added Kearney and Wayne...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: It's university as a whole.