

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 287

the things people say is, well, if you do it to everybody, that's fine. And then you try to do it to everybody, and that's too much, too much, too big a chunk. So then you try to take one thing at a time. And that's picking on my sector. It's either low-income in the urban areas or rural areas, or if we get to the income tax, we'll be picking on the wealthy. You know, at some point in time, we've all got to make some sacrifices if we're going to maintain the education infrastructure that we need. And I just hope people will think about that as we proceed forward. If everybody tries to eliminate what affects most of their sector, then none of the sectors will be affected. But we won't have any tax reform and we won't have any money to meet even partially the gap that we'd have to fill. So I hope people will think in terms of a little bit of sacrifice on everybody's part. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Now, Senator Landis, there are no further lights on. You're recognized to close on the advancement of LB 287, if you care to.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, it's hard to know. But as a rough estimate, this has 10 or 12 million dollars of gap-filling potential. It's written, I think, consistent with what the floor instructions from the body were as to what they wanted to tax and what they didn't want to tax. And I continue to support the idea of taxing repairs. In this case, as I said, the majority of states on our border do tax the service of the repairs of tangible personal property. I would hope we would do the same thing here. If we do not do this, we will raise property taxes 10 or 12 million bucks, or we will cut programs to the schools or to needy people, if we don't get the kinds of things that we need to fill the gap. So I would urge you to vote for this bill. I will take a machine vote, and then, depending on how that happens, perhaps a call of the house, and we'll see what happens a little further along. Senator Cudaback, I'd ask for the advancement of LB 287.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Did you call the house, Senator Landis? Did I hear...the question before the body is, shall LB 287 advance to E & R Initial? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We're voting on advancement of LB 287 to E & R Initial. Have you all