

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 7, 2003

LB 287

of line by doing this kind of a change. So again, I oppose the amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Vrtiska on the Kremer amendment.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I'd like to ask Senator Landis a question, if he's available.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Landis, we've taken the automobiles out. And if we take this out, what's left to this bill?

SENATOR LANDIS: Probably \$15 million.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Fifteen million dollars?

SENATOR LANDIS: Over, you know, two years. If you got a vacuum cleaner repaired, a musical instrument repaired, if you got your golf cart repaired, if you had repair service on personal tangible property.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I'm not going to propose that we take out any more out. But I will say that what we started out with, we don't have a whole lot left. And I guess somewhere we're going to have to probably make up that difference. And if that's what the body's wishes are, that's fine with me. I agree that it's similarly unfair to tax property on the agriculture sector when we decide that it's not fair to tax some of the other things we've taken out. I listened carefully as Senator Chambers talked about the people who were being taxed unfairly with automobile repairs, and I can't argue that. But at the same time, we have a situation where once the gates are open, it seems like the flood begins, and everybody wants to get into the act and take out their particular tax that's going to cost them some money. And that's fair. And that's fair, because that's what we're here to represent our constituents. Senator Chambers represents his constituents well. We, as agriculture people, have to do what we can for the people we represent. Because