

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 6, 2003

LB 285, 759

it. I don't think we have to look for a signal to the Revenue Committee from...I don't think he needs to look for a signal from the Revenue Committee about the cigarette tax or the income tax. In fact, one of the reasons the repair bill is out here is because I think I precipitously spoke as if we could make use of LB 759 as part of a larger scheme in which the Revenue Committee might have a role to play, in which I was sort of saying, well, gosh, we could do this to Senator Brashear's bill and that to Senator Brashear's bill. And in fact, I think he should be free to make his own decisions as to what's in LB 759.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: To allow that to happen, the pieces are out here, so that the body can choose. My guess is a different mix of people will support a repair parts tax than will support an income tax. If there are 25 votes to do either, that will be fine by me. I support both of them. There may be 25 people to do this. I think there are 25 people to do this. There may be 25 people who will want to do the current base broadening that's in Senator Brashear's bill. I happen to be one of them myself, as a matter of fact. But it seems to me that Senator Brashear should have a free hand in designing his package. What you have here is enough revenue to handle the shortfall, that the Legislature is free to act on if they want to. It's individually rather than a package, so it doesn't force anybody's hand. People will get to decide, do I like an income tax or not? Do I think a repair tax extension is wise? Then they get to.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: Do I think we should extend LB 1085? Then they get to do that. They're individual pieces that we get to choose whether we...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...endorse or not.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time is up, Senator Landis. You've heard the