

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 6, 2003

LB 46, 283

you don't put any money into it, you have problems and you create a dangerous situation for the people who live there. And we're looking at, by May 15, getting this corrected or spending another \$4 million...losing \$4 million in federal funds--another budget problem. We've got to start taking care of the things that are our responsibility, and one of the things we can do to turn that ship is to have enough treatment money so we aren't spending the expensive back end dollars to lock people up. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Thompson. Senator Wehrbein, on the Jensen amendment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I'm going to be somewhat brief. I reluctantly rise to oppose Senator Jensen's amendment. I certainly agree with him, but in the world of reality I can't support the earmark and the...actually, the increase to the extent that it is on alcohol taxes. Believe me, I want to, but it's one of those areas where I think, practically speaking, we can't always do what I'd like to do. And the earmarking part of it is bothersome too, because if we're going to do it we really need it in the General Fund for other uses. And the reason is, in LB 46, Senator Brashear's bill, there's quite a large amount of money, \$2.6 million the first year, \$4.5 million the second year, that is there for...that is uncommitted, and a lot of that in probation will go...will be able to go for that reason. So I just want to say that even though my gut instinct would like to support it, I can't at this point because of the area we're at in our budget. There's many other issues we're going to have to work through, apparently, as it's going, and I can't let an earmark go at this point with this kind of money. Having said that, there's one other area I want to talk about and that's the cuts, because some have brought in the budget and the lack of cuts therefor. I just wanted to say, to give a perspective, the Governor's budget of the University of Nebraska is 10 percent. It's about \$82 million. That \$82 million, if you want to cut the university, that \$82 million cut will take all of UNO and all of UNK. That adds up to \$83 million. UNO, UNK added up together is \$83 million. That's the equivalent of the 10 percent cut the Governor is proposing for the University of Nebraska. So I