

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 602

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, I would agree.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a person would have to go to whatever is being debated in Congress, and then look at some other document, and could not rely on the statute, would you say that that statute is sufficiently clear and lacking in vagueness to withstand constitutional muster? Well, let me ask it a...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, it depends. For instance, I think you could look up the word "diploid" to get the technical scientific definition, and that would be permissible, because...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm talking about...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...it's a technical term.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..."product." Right. It is. And it would have a determinable meaning. But "product" does not.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It means different things to different people. Oh, thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Johnson.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Cudaback, members of the body, the hour is getting late, but that's not our choice. Senator Smith, I want to...you don't need to get up, I just would like you to listen. And what I want you...to reassure you, about two weeks ago, the human genome pattern was completed, and you can tell your friend that it showed no difference between the races. So he doesn't need to worry about that anymore. A couple other things. You know what we've listened to here today, reminded by Senator Chambers in his talk earlier about "Don't Fence Me In." There was another program on the radio about the same time. It was called You Are There. And it was kind of the History Channel on the radio. And they would take you back to these various events in history, such as we've alluded to with the Spanish Inquisition, the Salem witch trials, all of these