

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 602

SENATOR SMITH: I guess I'm not going to accept that as being true. (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you think what I said about diploid is not true?

SENATOR SMITH: I would have no reason to believe otherwise, Senator. I'm all ears.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I assure you it's true. So what would be the difference between that and a diplodocus?

SENATOR SMITH: I do not know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think if you saw a diplodocus you'd know the difference right away. It's a huge dinosaur during the Upper Jurassic period, that ate "vege-tables." And probably tables. That's all I will ask you. I'd like to ask Senator Brashear another question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Brashear?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, you would agree this is a criminal statute, wouldn't you?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: This is a criminal statute. Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And criminal statutes are narrowly construed by the courts.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes they are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The court will not add anything to it or extend by way of interpretation what the actual language of the criminal statute is. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, when you say "anything" by interpretation, I don't know if I can go there. But I...