

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 602

Mormon Tabernacle Choir, say in unison, Polly wants a cracker, that doesn't make it right. Because Polly didn't know what a cracker was. So you all are going to repeat something that they're repeating in Congress. Senator Foley doesn't know what "product" means in this bill. And he's the tallest...I started to say the biggest supporter...he's the tallest supporter of the bill. Senator Smith doesn't know. I wonder if there are any senators on the floor who understand how criminal statutes are handled by courts. Don't take my word for it. Leave it like it is. Get your 33 votes after we've been on it 16 hours.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I will mock you and scoff once again. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Kruse.

SENATOR KRUSE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. This is a fascinating discussion. And what's particularly fascinating about it is that we are using various definitions on the same word. Senator Chambers has just referred to that. When we each have our own definition of a word, and we keep using those same words, it really gets confusing, and a little bit humorous at times. I may try to point that out, though at this point I'm ready to join Senator Loudon and lay it over until we can each study it and understand what we're talking about. A few responses, from my perspective. Senator Foley wants to know if I would like to more carefully define my statement on restricting research. No, I do not. I'm opposed to any restriction of research by legislators, for to do that restriction would be to oppose knowledge and oppose the seeking of knowledge. I can't do that. That doesn't involve ethics. I don't consider us as really capable of devising ethical standards. We are poorly equipped to do that. We can define them if a particular field, like accountants, want to talk about it and tell us what it looks like. But we're not ready to go into it. When we want to restrict research, I am reminded of the time when some legislators found out that a computer scientist was getting ready to create a machine that would think. He got all excited about it, because he got that