

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 602

derive any product from human cloning.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes.

SENATOR THOMPSON: And by definition of human cloning, we're talking about, in the absence of the Schimek amendment, and I'm not even sure if the Schimek amendment gets to that totally, the definitions in this bill which, depending upon how you read them, are cloning in the sense of a reproductive cloning but also the therapeutic research that could lead to cures for diseases like Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, kidney disease. Would that mean, the way this bill is written, that a person, such as the family members I described, should a product come on the market that resulted from research, as described in this bill, that no person shall knowingly derive any product from human cloning in Nebraska? Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: That would not apply, in my judgment, to the family members you're talking about because they wouldn't derive. They would be utilizing. Here we're talking about somebody who would be engaged in the manufacture or circulation or delivery or receipt.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: If you...maybe I'm not making myself clear. In other words, a person...a family member wanting the benefit of something would not be a person deriving any product from human cloning.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Okay. What is meant by product? I just remember on the fetal tissue research bill we started talking about things like polio vaccines and other...I'm not sure what the product is.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Product is not defined, but it would be a resultant objective, physical thing.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time. Thank you, Senator Thompson and Senator Brashear. Senator Smith.