

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 602

definition of human cloning in the amendment. That was not done, which I think has the effect of leaving in place the definition for purposes of whether a person has knowingly performed human cloning or derived any product from human cloning, because of the fact that the second change you made was only to subsection (3) of Section 4. So I wasn't sure whether you intended just to cover subsection (3), or whether your intent was to cover all three of those subsections of Section 4. Have I made it more confusing or less confusing?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, I would say that I intended to cover all three, but it doesn't read like that, does it?

SENATOR BEUTLER: So your intent is to qualify the term "human cloning" not just in subsection (3), but in subsections (1), (2), and (3).

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, I just wanted to be sure as to your intent. And from my perspective it would seem that maybe an additional phrase just like the one you added might be appropriate in that portion of the bill that defines human cloning.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I think you've made an excellent point, Senator, and I have to tell you that this amendment was drawn a number of weeks ago and I haven't really looked at it since that time. And I think that you have very astutely looked at it and I would agree with you it needs some refinement.

SENATOR RAIKES: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you, Senator. Senator Smith, could I ask you a couple questions too?

SENATOR RAIKES: Senator Smith, would you yield?

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And these, I think, are simply things I don't